

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,790	11/12/2003	Allen W. Van Noy	. ADI-098	. ADI-098 7808	
51414 7	7590 11/23/2005		EXAMINER		
GOODWIN PROCTER LLP			KAVANAUGH, JOHN T		
PATENT ADMINISTRATOR EXCHANGE PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	A 02109-2881		3728		
			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2009	DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				E
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/712,790	VAN NOY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ted Kavanaugh	3728	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		correspondence ad	ldress
Period fo			1/0) OD TUUDTY (0	(A) DA)(O
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 November 2005.		
·				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 18-35</u> is/are rejected.	Irawn from consideration.		·
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-, ,	` '	
44)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	•	, · ·
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	E Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form Pi	IO-152.
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica priority documents have been recei reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	Stage
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Infori	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-6-05,8-12-05,7-1</u> 5- 05,5-5-04	Paper No(s)/Mail (08) Notice of Informal	Date	O-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/712,790 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species III (figures 5-6) in the reply filed on Nov. 7, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 15-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on Nov. 7, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 5 define the guide surface with respect to the movement of the shoe relative to the ground and the passing airflow and therefore are indefinite inasmuch as the angle with respect to the ground is not know and the direction of the air flow is not known.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/712,790

Art Unit: 3728

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-14,18-20,22-28,30-32,34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 1717183 (Brenner).

Benner teaches a shoe having a ventilation system (the shoe will inherently provide ventilation inasmuch as it has a plurality of openings in the shoe upper) as claimed including at least one opening in the upper, a beam (10), and a plurality of parallel guiding surface/ vanes (11) extending over the openings, the guiding surface appear to be in the angles as claimed. The openings between the vanes (11) allow for air to enter and exit. The vanes (11) inherently function as a guiding surface.

6. Claims 1-14,18-20,22-28,30-32,34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 2082309 (Turiansky).

Turiansky teaches a shoe having a ventilation system as claimed including at least one opening in the upper, a beam (cross strips 2), and a plurality of parallel guiding surface/ vanes

Application/Control Number: 10/712,790

Art Unit: 3728

(outer strips 1) extending over the openings, the guiding surface appear to be in the angles as claimed. The openings between the vanes (1) allow for air to enter and exit. The vanes (1) inherently function as a guiding surface and help to direct air into the openings.

7. Claims 1-13,18-20,22-28,30-32,34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 9208875.9 (Hsing).

Hsing teaches a shoe having a ventilation system as claimed including at least one opening in the upper and a plurality of parallel guiding surface/ vanes (see figure 1 and page 6 of the translation) extending over the openings, the guiding surface appear to be in the angles as claimed. The openings allow for air to enter and exit.

8. Claims 1-13,18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6871420 (Shikahashvili).

Shikahashvili teaches a shoe having structure as claimed including at least one opening in the upper and at least one in the sole, and a plurality of parallel guiding surface/ vanes (fins 3) extending over the openings, the guiding surface appear to be in the angles as claimed. The vanes (1) inherently function as a guiding surface and help to direct air into the openings and therefore inherently is a ventilation system. Regarding claim 33, the vane (fin 3) is triangular shaped, see figure 2 and 4C.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/712,790 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of the following: Shikhashvili '420 or Hsing or Turiansky '309 or Brenner '456.

To the extent that the angles fall out of the range as claimed, it would be an obvious design choice to orientate the guiding surfaces with the angles as claimed inasmuch as a plurality of different angles appear to be suitable and inasmuch as applicant doesn't teach that this provide any new or unexpected results.

11. Claims 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references Hsing or Turiansky '309 or Brenner '456) as applied to claims 20 and 28 above, and further in view of US 1974456 (Goldzweig).

Goldzweig teaches provide a shoe sole with centrally located outlets (8). It would have been obvious to provide the shoe as taught above with the sole having centrally located outlets, as taught by Goldzweig, to provide further ventilation.

Conclusion

- 12. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- -"The reply must present arguments pointing out the *specific* distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the <u>claims</u>, the specification and the drawings.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,790 Page 6

Art Unit: 3728

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other

useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of

responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571) 273-8300 (FORMAL FAXES

ONLY). Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover

sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be

directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can

normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM.

Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728

TK

November 21, 2005